## **Emergence of Quantum Mechanics from Iterated Maps**

#### Ervin Goldfain

#### Abstract

Iterated maps are deterministic models of dynamical systems in discrete time. A key feature of these models is the concept of *invariant density* associated with the asymptotic onset of stationarity. Drawing from the minimal fractality of spacetime near the Fermi scale, we show here that invariant density enables a stepby-step derivation of Quantum Mechanics from iterated maps.

**Key words**: Quantum Mechanics, iterated maps, invariant density, invariant measure, ergodicity, minimal fractal manifold.

## **<u>1. Iterated maps and invariant density functions</u>**

Refs. [3-9] discuss at length the physical meaning of the *minimal fractal manifold* (MFM), a spacetime continuum characterized by arbitrarily small and scale-dependent deviations from four dimensions ( $\varepsilon = 4 - D \ll 1$ ). MFM is conjectured to develop in out-of-equilibrium conditions near the ultraviolet scale  $\Lambda_{UV}$  and flow towards equilibrium below the Fermi scale ( $M_{EW} < \Lambda_{UV}$ ). The main point of the MFM is that the dimensional deviation  $\varepsilon$  runs with the energy scale as in  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\mu)$ , where  $\mu = O(M_{EW} / \Lambda_{UV})$ . There are reasons to believe that dimensional fluctuations driven by  $\varepsilon(\mu)$  near the limit of four-dimensional spacetime ( $\varepsilon = 0$ ) are asymptotically compatible with the phenomenology of effective field theory, in general, and the Standard Model of particle physics in particular [3-9].

The goal of this paragraph is to outline the description of  $\varepsilon(\mu)$  in terms of iterated maps and invariant density functions. It complements our earlier work on the emergence of Planck's constant from iterated maps [10].

The evolution of  $\varepsilon(\mu)$  in a generic *N*-dimensional phase space *X* is defined by the firstorder differential equation [1-2]

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{d\mu} = \beta(\varepsilon) \tag{1}$$

whose map analog is given by

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} = \beta(\varepsilon_n) \tag{2}$$

Here, n is the map iteration index while

$$\varepsilon_n = (\varepsilon_n^{(1)}, \varepsilon_n^{(2)}, \dots, \varepsilon_n^{(N)})$$
(3)

is a vector in X and

$$\beta = (\beta^{(1)}, \beta^{(2)}, ..., \beta^{(N)})$$
(4)

a vector-valued function. One starts with  $\varepsilon_0$  and iterate it step by step using (2). The sequence of iterates  $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots$  forms a trajectory (orbit) in *X*. A periodic orbit of length L = 1 defines a fixed point of the map and satisfies the condition

$$\varepsilon^* = \beta(\varepsilon^*) = 0 \Longrightarrow D = 4 \tag{5}$$

$$\beta^{L}(\varepsilon) = \beta(\beta(...\beta(\varepsilon)))$$
, L-times (6)

Although the iterates of (2) are deterministic events, a useful concept for the analysis of (2) is the *probability distribution of iterates*. Let us partition *X* into an array of disjoint cells indexed by the subscript i = 1, 2, ..., R, where *R* is the total number of cells. Let the number of iterates located in cell *i* be  $n_i$ . The relative frequencies (or weights) associated with a large number of iterations  $n \gg 1$  is given by

$$p_i = \frac{n_i}{n} = \frac{n_i}{\sum_i n_i} \tag{7}$$

Definition (7) enables bridging the gap between the theory of iterated maps and classical statistical physics [1-2].

Assuming unbounded precision, the numerical value of  $\varepsilon$  includes an infinite string of digits. A reasonable approximation is obtained by truncating the string to M >> 1 digits according to

$$\varepsilon = \eta_1 \eta_2 \dots \eta_{M-1} \eta_M \tag{8}$$

where each unit  $\eta_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., M is the quartet of binary pairs as in

$$\eta_i = (00, 01, 10, 11)$$
 (9)

The probability measure associated with the initial density  $\rho_0(\varepsilon)$  assumes the form

$$\mu_0(A) = \int_A d\varepsilon \,\rho_0(\varepsilon) \tag{10}$$

It is important to note that, unlike statistical physics, the initial density  $\rho_0(\varepsilon)$  does not reflect the statistical uncertainty of choosing an initial condition. Rather, the initial

density follows from the inherent numerical approximation of  $\varepsilon$  as expressed by (8) and (9). In general, one can state that the density  $\rho(\varepsilon)$  at any iteration stage quantifies the *rounding error* in the estimation of  $\varepsilon$ , a process that can be symbolically presented as

$$\rho(\varepsilon) \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \approx \eta_1, \eta_2, ..., \eta_T , \ T \le M$$
(11)

Given the map  $\beta$ , one wishes to study the evolution of the ensemble of trajectories corresponding to an ensemble of initial values  $\varepsilon_0$ . Let  $\mu_n$  denote the probability distribution of iterates after *n* iterations. The probability measure of finding an iterate  $\varepsilon_n$ in the subset of the phase space  $A \subset X$  amounts to [1]

$$\mu_n(A) = \int_A d\varepsilon \rho_n(\varepsilon) \tag{12}$$

By definition, an invariant probability measure stays unchanged upon the action of the map  $\beta$ , which means that it satisfies the requirement

$$\mu_{n+1}(A) = \mu_n(A) \tag{13}$$

It can be shown that, based on (12) to (13), the corresponding invariant density  $\rho$  complies with the condition

$$\int_{A} d\varepsilon \rho(\varepsilon) = \int_{\beta^{-1}(A)} d\varepsilon \rho(\varepsilon)$$
(14)

where  $\beta^{-1}(\varepsilon)$  denotes the set of all points that are mapped onto *A* by one iteration step. The ensemble expectation value of an arbitrary test function (or operator)  $Q(\varepsilon)$  with respect to the invariant density  $\rho$  is given by [1]

$$\langle Q \rangle = \int_{X} d\varepsilon \rho(\varepsilon) Q(\varepsilon)$$
 (15)

*Ergodicity* demands the identity of the ensemble average with the time average, where the latter is supplied by

$$\overline{Q} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} Q(\varepsilon_n) \Longrightarrow \langle Q \rangle = \overline{Q}$$
(16)

A remarkable property of ergodic maps is *mixing*. The map  $\beta$  is called "mixing" if the initial smooth density  $\rho_0(\varepsilon)$  converges to the invariant density  $\rho(\varepsilon)$  as in [1]

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_n(\varepsilon) = \rho(\varepsilon) \tag{17}$$

It is apparent that (17) is automatically fulfilled if the map (2) ends up on the attractor  $\varepsilon^* = 0$  (D = 4), where the dimensional flow  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\mu)$  settles down.

Mixing may be also defined in terms of *correlation functions* (CF). The CF for any two integrable test functions  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  takes the form

$$C(\varphi_1,\varphi_2;n) = \lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \varphi_1(\varepsilon_{k+n}) \varphi_2(\varepsilon_k) - \left\langle \varphi_1 \right\rangle \left\langle \varphi_2 \right\rangle$$
(18)

The map is considered mixing if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} C(\varphi_1, \varphi_2; n) = 0 \tag{19}$$

which occurs when  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are *statistically independent*. Mixing implies ergodicity, but the reverse is not true.

#### 2. Quantum Mechanics from invariant density functions

The behavior of iterated maps previously outlined hints to an unforeseen connection between the invariant density  $\rho(\varepsilon)$  in close proximity to  $\varepsilon^* = 0$  and the probability density of quantum states. In particular, a straightforward conjecture is that

$$\rho(\varepsilon) \Leftrightarrow \left| \psi(x) \right|^2 \tag{20}$$

where  $\psi(x)$  is the square-integrable wavefunction of Quantum Mechanics (QM). To unveil this connection, we cast the invariant density in the form

$$\rho(\varepsilon) = \rho_{c}(\varepsilon)\rho_{c}^{*}(\varepsilon) = \left|\sqrt{\rho(\varepsilon)}\exp[i\theta(\varepsilon)]\right|^{2}$$
(21)

where  $\rho_c(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{\rho(\varepsilon)} \exp[i\theta(\varepsilon)]$  represents the complex-valued amplitude of  $\rho(\varepsilon)$ . Relations (20) and (21) imply a straightforward one-to-one correspondence written as

$$\rho_{C}(\varepsilon) \Leftrightarrow \psi(x) \tag{22a}$$

$$\rho_C^*(\varepsilon) \Leftrightarrow \psi^*(x) \tag{22b}$$

A critical observation is now in order. Recall that, by (11), the density  $\rho(\varepsilon)$  reflects the rounding error in the estimation of  $\varepsilon$ . In addition to the rounding error, one must consider that any measurement process involves a *finite sampling resolution*  $\Delta$ . For a given  $\Delta$ , there is an infinite number of rounded  $\varepsilon$  contained in  $\Delta$  and an invariant density  $\rho_{\Delta}(\varepsilon)$  that can symbolically presented as

$$\rho_{\Delta}(\varepsilon) \! \Rightarrow \! \varepsilon \! \in \! [\varepsilon_{\min}, \varepsilon_{\max}] \! \subset \! \Delta \tag{23}$$

**6** | P a g e

It follows from these considerations that (22) is to be interpreted as an *infinite* superposition of complex amplitudes imposed by  $\Delta$ , as embodied in the expansion postulate of QM

$$\psi = \sum_{s} C_{s} \psi_{s} \tag{24}$$

Turning next to (15), it is apparent that it represents the analogue of operator average in QM, namely

$$\left\langle Q \right\rangle = \int dx \psi^*(x) Q \psi(x)$$
 (25)

A surprising interpretation emerges from (18) - (19). For any arbitrarily small yet nonvanishing deviation  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon^* = 0$ , the correlation function of two operators  $Q_1, Q_2$  is never vanishing, which – under properly defined conditions - may lie behind the physics of *quantum entanglement*. This is to say that there are circumstances where quantum operators remain statistical dependent, regardless of the observation scale  $\mu$ .

We close by showing how the quantum generators of translations and rotations, as well as the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, emerge from (20) - (22). To this end, consider a scaling transformation of (22) written in the form

$$\psi' = U\psi \tag{26}$$

Since (20) is scale-invariant for  $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon^* = 0$ , the operator U must be unitary

$$U^{\dagger}U = 1 \tag{27}$$

which means

$$\psi'^* \psi = \psi'^* U^{\dagger} U \psi = \psi^* \psi \tag{28}$$

Transformation (26) is therefore norm-conserving

$$|\psi'| = |\psi| = (\psi^{\dagger}\psi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (29)

The infinitesimal counterpart of (26) is described by ( $\xi \ll 1$ )

$$U = \exp(i\theta) = \exp(-i\xi G) \approx 1 - i\xi G$$
(30)

or

$$\psi' = (1 - i\xi G)\psi \tag{31}$$

where *G* stands for the generator of (26). It was shown in [11] that an infinitesimal transformation involving a dilation, rotation or translation is operationally equivalent to an infinitesimal scale-invariant (self-similar) transformation. Assuming that (26) refers to a translation ( $x' = x - \delta x$ ) with  $\delta x \ll x$ , (31) turns into

$$\psi'(x') = \psi(x - \delta x) \approx \psi(x) - \delta x \frac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial x} , \quad x = (x_{\mu})$$
 (32)

which yields the generator of translations in the form

$$G_{\mu} = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \tag{33}$$

Including the reduced Planck's constant in (33) leads to the standard momentum operator

$$P_{\mu} = \hbar G_{\mu} \tag{34}$$

Consider now rotations about the *z* - axis which transform vectors  $\mathbf{V} = (V_x, V_y)$  according to the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{V}_{x} \\ \overline{V}_{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi \\ \sin\varphi & \cos\varphi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{x} \\ V_{y} \end{pmatrix}$$
(35)

An infinitesimal rotation of angle  $\delta \varphi = \xi \ll 1$  is equivalent to an infinitesimal scaling operation defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{V}_{x} \\ \overline{V}_{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\delta\varphi \\ \delta\varphi & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{x} \\ V_{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{x} - V_{y} \,\delta\varphi \\ V_{y} + V_{x} \,\delta\varphi \end{pmatrix}$$
(36)

and so

$$\delta V_x = -V_y \,\delta \varphi = -V_y \,\delta \lambda \tag{37}$$

$$\delta V_{y} = V_{x} \delta \varphi = V_{x} \delta \lambda \tag{38}$$

It is known that expanding a generic function containing a two-component vector gives

$$F(V_x + \delta V_x, V_y + \delta V_y) = F(V_x, V_y) + \delta V_x \frac{\partial F}{\partial V_x} + \delta V_y \frac{\partial F}{\partial V_y}$$
(39)

which can be presented as

$$F(\varphi + \delta \varphi) = F(\varphi) + \delta \varphi \left(-V_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial V_{x}} + V_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial V_{y}}\right)$$
(40)

It follows from (40) that the generator of planar rotations can be written as

$$G = -i\left(V_x \frac{\partial}{\partial V_y} - V_y \frac{\partial}{\partial V_x}\right)$$
(41)

When **V** represents the position vector  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$ , (41) renders the angular momentum about the *z* axis in the familiar form

$$L_z = x P_y - y P_x \tag{1.1}$$

Finally, let us apply an infinitesimal time translation  $t' = t - \delta t$  in (26) given by

$$\psi'(t') = U\psi(t) = (1 - \frac{i}{\hbar}H\,\delta t)\,\psi(t) \tag{42}$$

where

$$\psi'(t') = \psi(t) - \delta t \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$$
(43)

Inspection of (42) and (43) reveals the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$H\psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} \tag{44}$$

For additional details on (26) - (44), the reader is directed to a couple of well-written introductory texts on the role of symmetry and invariance in physics [12-13].

# <u>References</u>

1. Beck C. and Schlögl F., "Thermodynamics of chaotic systems–an introduction", Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.

2. McCauley J. L., "Chaos, Dynamics and Fractals-an algorithmic approach to deterministic chaos", Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.

3. Available at the following sites:

http://www.aracneeditrice.it/aracneweb/index.php/pubblicazione.html?item=9788854
889972

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/278849474 Introduction to Fractional Fi eld\_Theory\_consolidated\_version

4. Available at the following site:

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228625050 Chaotic Dynamics of the Re normalization Group Flow and Standard Model Parameters Intl

5. Available at the following site:

https://www.academia.edu/38752380/Multifractal Geometry and Standard Model
Symmetries

6. <u>https://www.prespacetime.com/index.php/pst/article/view/1619/1546</u>

7. <u>https://www.prespacetime.com/index.php/pst/article/view/1653/1575</u>

- 8. <u>https://www.prespacetime.com/index.php/pst/article/view/1648/1569</u>
- 9. <u>https://www.prespacetime.com/index.php/pst/article/view/1656/1570</u>
- 10. Available at the following site

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341817622 Emergence of Planck's Const

ant from Iterated Maps#fullTextFileContent

# [11] Available at the following site

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342876637\_Self-

similarity and the Foundation of Effective Field Theory#fullTextFileContent

[12] Stenger V. J., "The comprehensible cosmos", Prometheus Books, 2006.

[13] Schwichtenberg J., "Physics from Symmetry", Springer, 2018.